COURSE DESCRIPTION, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES

This course examines terrorism and counterterrorism in the context of democratic society, focusing on the United States, the United Kingdom, Israel, France, and Russia. It will trace the history of terrorism, but focus substantially on developments since World War II when terrorist campaigns became a common tactic of asymmetric conflict for non-governmental individuals and groups. Students will analyze the three post-WWII phases of terrorism, that is anti-colonial, ethno-nationalist and ideological, and religiously-motivated and will examine whether terrorism is a rational or criminal tactic.

Students will also study the counterterrorism tactics of these key western democratic states, becoming able to explain the similarities and differences, their legality in terms of domestic and international laws, as well as the ethics of various counterterrorist strategies. Students will also evaluate the various tactics the United States has utilized to fight Al Qaeda and other Salafi Jihadist terrorists, such as ISIS, since the late 1990s.

Students will differentiate among the motives and philosophies of terrorist campaigns, becoming able to explain the dynamics at work. At the same time, they will discern and explain the reasons why each democracy attempts to thwart terrorism in different ways—some of which challenge many Western citizens’, especially US citizens’, standards of ethics, morality, religion, or civic consciousness. Students will cultivate both an intellectual understanding of terrorism and counterterrorism, as well as an ability to articulate what is fair, defensible, and sustainable in terms of counterterrorism tactics.

An additional focus of the course will be to stimulate students’ critical thinking and analytic skills. Although lecture will be the primarily method of instruction, I will also look for student participation via the expression of varied points of view backed by fact, cogent reasoning, or historical precedent—not unsubstantiated opinion. Moreover, I will look for this same critical approach in the writing assignments. The learning goals of this course are not simply to equip students with an informed understanding of terrorism and the counterterrorism strategies of Western democracies, but also to increase students’ analytic capabilities and quality of writing.

REQUIREMENTS

- Students will be expected to attend class regularly and to participate in class discussions. Attendance and participation will represent 10 percent of your grade.

- There will be a 50 minute examination in mid-February which will represent 20 percent of your grade. The purpose of this first exam is twofold: 1) to test how well you are absorbing the key points of the course and 2) to acclimate you to the kinds of questions you will be asked in other course written requirements and to my expectations in terms of the critical thinking skills you should display in your answers.

- You will be required to watch the movie Battle of Algiers (available on OAKs and online in French with subtitles) and in a paper of no more than four-double-spaced pages to identify and discuss what issues highlighted in FLN terrorism or the French Government response are germane to 21st century terrorism and counterterrorism issues. This paper will be worth 20 percent of your final grade.
There will be a cumulative final examination which will represent 20 percent of your grade.

In a paper that will represent 30 percent of your final grade, you will be required to produce a 5-6 page (double spaced) evaluation of the impact of the elimination of Usama bin Laden on the terrorist threat to the US Homeland and to US interests abroad.

- Some possible, but not required, avenues of analysis include questions such as: In what ways is the threat that we face today different from the threat we faced while he was alive? Was his death a turning point in terms of the Salafi Jihadist threat to the US? What specific impact did his death have on the Salafi Jihadist terrorist movement? Additionally, why was the US determined to eliminate him? Revenge? To destroy Al Qaeda? To defuse support for the Salafi Jihadist perspective? To end the war on terror?

- As you contemplate the issues associated with your assessment, keep in mind Audrey Cronin’s insights as to the historical record in terms of why countries use decapitation and what the impact has been. How do these insights apply in the situation in which the US eliminated UBL?

  - In regard to the two writing assignments, I will provide detailed review comments of both the substantive information and critical thinking of your analysis. In addition, as a means to hone your writing skills, I will provide extensive editorial comments on your writing, but will not deduct points from your grade unless the writing is so ambiguous as to obfuscate your message.

Grades for individual assignments will be numerical on a 100 point scale and the final grade will be calculated based on the following scale: 93-100 A; 90-92 A-; 88-89 B+; 83-87 B; 80-82 B-; 78-79 C+; 73-77 C; 70-72 C-; 68-69 D+; 63-67 D; 60-62 D-; below 60 F.

**REQUIRED TEXTS**


**READINGS IN OAKS**


*Who Are The Pakistani Taliban?* CNN.Com 12 October 2012


Andrew Silke, Editor, *Case Study: Counter-terrorism in the UK*, *The Psychology of Counter-Terrorism*, (London and New York: Routledge, 2011.) pp 12-16


*Battlefields of the Mind*, *The Economist*, 9 January 2016

*Islamic, Yet Integrated*, *The Economist*, 6 September 2014

*Better than cure—but difficult*, *The Economist*, 11 June 2011

*Self-service*, *The Economist*, 11 October 2014

*Fighting the Cyber-Jihadists*, *The Economist*, 10 June 2017


Council on International Relations, *ISIL as a Mass Movement*, 12 April 2016

Christopher Chivvis and Andrew Liepman, *North Africa’s Menace*, Rand Corp, pp 1-14


Andrew Liepman and Colin Clarke, *Demystifying the Islamic State*, Rand Corporation, 23 August 2016

Full Text: President Obama’s 2014 West Point Commencement Address, 28 May 2014

*What is Driving French Nationals to Join Islamic State?* BBC News, 19 November 2014


Lawfare, *The Foreign Policy Essay: Is This How to Win the “War on Terrorism”*, 14 September 2014


Lawfare, *Foreign Fighter “Hot Potato”*, 26 November 2017

Lawfare, *The French War on Terrorism: Targeting French Islamic State Fighters through Iraqi Forces*, 30 May 2017

Lawfare, *French Special Forces Targeting French Citizens Fighting for ISIS in Iraq*, 9 June 2017

Lawfare, *Can Lone Wolves Be Stopped?* 15 March 2017

*Timeline: Minnesota Pipeline to al-Shabab*, NPR News, 24 November 2014

*National Strategy for Combating Terrorism*, February 2003

*National Strategy for Counterterrorism*, June 2011

*CTC Sentinel*, *Governing the Caliphate: The Islamic State Picture*, 21 August 2015

*CTC Sentinel*, *Profiles of Foreign Fighters in Syria and Iraq*, 29 September 2014

*CTC Sentinel*, *The Salafi-Jihad as a Religious Ideology*, February 2008

*CTC Sentinel*, *Assessing Al-Qa’ida Central Resilience*, 11 September 2015

*CTC Sentinel*, *Al Qa’ida Plays a Long Game in Syria*, 11 September 2015


*CTC Sentinel*, *The Global Terror Threat and Counterterrorism Challenges Facing the Next Administration*, Nov/Dec 2016

*CTC Sentinel*, *The Threat to the United States from The Islamic State’s Virtual Entrepreneurs*, March 2017

*CTC Sentinel*, *Fighting the Long War: The Evolution of Al-Qa’ida in the Arabian Peninsula*, January 2017

Daniel Byman and Jennifer Williams, *ISIS vs. Al Qaeda: Jihadism’s global civil war*, Brookings, 24 February 2015

Daniel Byman and Jennifer Williams, *Al-Qa’ida is losing the battle for jihadi hearts and minds*, Brookings, 19 August 2015

*The USA Freedom Act of 2015*, from Senator Patrick Leahy website


*Country Reports on Terrorism 2016: Russia*, July 2017, US Department of State


**GROUND-RULES**

- **Attendance:** I expect you to attend class (period.)

- **Late Work:** Late work will be penalized. Work that is turned in after the date and time it is due will lose five points off the total automatically (i.e. a paper with a numerical grade of 75 becomes a 70) and an additional five points will be deducted for every subsequent day. I consider work to be late if it is not handed in at the time requested. **If there are extenuating circumstances that prevent you from passing in your assignments on time, I expect you to discuss these with me before the time the assignment is due.** Any student who misses an exam and does not inform me of the legitimate, substantiated reason for their absence within 24 hours will fail the missed exam automatically and will have no opportunity for a make-up exam.

- **Assignment Submissions:** You must provide a legible, printed hardcopy of your work to me in class at the time the assignment is due. **Additionally, you should electronically submit a copy of the assignment via the Drop box in OAKS by 1000 on the day the assignment is due.**
• **Academic Dishonesty**: Don’t even think about it! When you enrolled in the College of Charleston, you were bound by an Honor Code. I expect you to abide by that code. If you are found to have cheated on an exam or plagiarized any of your written assignments, you will fail this course and be turned over to the Honor Board for further disciplinary action.

• **Courtesy and Professionalism**: Given the nature of the subject matter of this course, you will doubtlessly find that some of your ideas do not always match the views of your fellow students, the authors of your texts, or your instructor. As I noted in the first paragraphs of this syllabus, I expect that your point of view will be backed by fact, cogent reasoning, or historical precedent. We are not here in this class to provide speculative opinions, to provide only emotional arguments, or to use volume in place of logic. We are here to share with one another our thinking and the reasons for it. In this same spirit, I expect that you will listen to the thoughts of others and to remain open to questions.

• **Special Circumstances**: If you have any kind of special circumstances that I should be aware of, please inform me right away. If you have SNAP accommodations of any kind, please make the appropriate notifications and arrangements with the Center for Students with Disabilities within the first week of class. Alternatively, if you have any sort of undiagnosed learning disability, a physical impairment of any kind that will require special arrangements for exams or papers, if you are an athlete or member of any club or organization that will travel, let me know. I will keep any information you share with me confidential, but in order to create the best learning environment for you, I need to know if there are circumstances that may interfere with your performance in the course.

**CLASS SCHEDULE**

**M 8 January**  
Introduction Day

**W 10 January**  
Defining Terrorism -- Revolution and Reaction  
- Hoffman, 1-16

**F 12 January**  
Post World War II Terrorism  
- Cronin, “Behind the Curve” in Contending

**W 17 January**  
The Definition of Terrorism  
- White, 3-29

**F 19 January**  
The Definition of Terrorism Continued  
- Primoratz, “Terrorism: What is it?”

**M 22 January**  
International Terrorism  
- Hoffman, 63-80

**W 24 January**  
Examining the Three Phases in Detail -- Anti-colonial Terrorism  
- Video: The Battle of Algiers  
- Hoffman, 44-62

**F 26 January**  
Examining the Three Phases in Detail -- Ideological Terrorism  
- Hoffman, 74-78

**M 29 January**  
Examining the Three Phases in Detail -- Religious Terrorism  
- Hoffman, 81-101

**W 31 January**  
Christian and Jewish Religious Terrorism
• Hoffman, 101-118

F 2 February  SHIA Islamic Religious Extremism—Ideology and Justification
  • White, 258-267, 279-284, 306-317

M 5 February  Battle of Algiers Paper Due

M 5 February  SUNNI Islamic Religious Extremism—Salafi Jihadist Ideology and Justification
  • Wiktorowicz, Genealogy of Radical Islam

F 7 February  Who Are the Shia and Sunni Terrorist Groups?
  • White, 376-387
  • Who Are the Pakistani Taliban?
  • Council on Foreign Relations, Lashkar-e-Taiba (Army of the Pure)

F 9 February  Who Are the Shia and Sunni Terrorist Groups?
  • White, 387-399

M 12 February  Video in Class – Terror in Mumbai

W 14 February  Palestinian Terrorist Groups
  • White, 290-306, 318-331

F 16 February  Suicide Terrorism
  • Hoffman, 131-171

M 19 February  The Impact of Suicide Terrorism Today
  • Moghadam, Motives in Contending

W 21 February  The Media and Terrorism
  • Hoffman, 173-195

F 23 February  Examination

M 26 February  Terrorist Use of the New Media
  • CTC Sentinel: The Age of Selfie Jihad: How Evolving Media Technology Is Changing Terrorism
  • Fighting the Cyber-Jihadists, The Economist

W 28 February  Criminals, Crazies, or Crusaders
  • Combs, Criminals or Crusaders, 52-79
  • Hoffman, 229-256

F 2 March  Law Enforcement or War?
  • Combs, Legal Perspectives, 214-223

M 5 March  How Terrorism Ends
  • Cronin 14-34, 1-6
W 7 March  Negotiation
  • Cronin, 35-72

F 9 March  Success
  • Cronin, 73-93

M 12 March  Repression and Reorientation
  • Cronin, 115-166

W 14 March  Salafi Jihadism as Ideology
  • CTC Sentinel: Salafi-Jihad as a Religious Ideology

F 16 March  Threat Today: The AQ CORE
  • CTC Sentinel: Al Qaeda Central’s Resilience
  • Brookings: Al Qaeda is Losing the Battle

M 26 March  Threat Today: The AQ Affiliates - AQAP
  • Council on Foreign Relations: Al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula
  • CTC Sentinel: Fighting the Long War: The Evolution of al-Qa’ida in the Arabian Peninsula

W 28 March  Threat Today: The Additional AQ Affiliates
  • Chivvis and Liepman, North Africa’s Menace pp 1-14
  • Council on Foreign Relations: Backgrounder Al-Shabab
  • Council on Foreign Relations: Al-Qaeda in the Islamic Maghreb
  • Council on Foreign Relations, Boko Haram

F 30 March  Threat Today: Salafi Jihadism’s New Generation
  • Council on Foreign Relations, ISIL as a Mass Movement
  • CTC Sentinel: The Global Terror Threat and Counterterrorism Challenges Facing the Next Administration

M 2 April  Threat Today: al Qaeda and ISIS
  • CTC Sentinel: Growing the Caliphate
  • CTC Sentinel: Al Qa’ida Plays a Long Game in Syria
  • Graeme Wood, What ISIS Really Wants
  • Clarke and Liepman, Demystifying the Islamic State

W 4 April  Threat Today: al Qaeda and ISIS
  • Brookings: ISIS vs. Al Qaida
  • Politico: How We Underestimated ISIS
  • CTC Sentinel: Profiles of Foreign Fighters
  • Clarke, Al Nasr ah Is Stronger Than Ever

F 6 April  Impact of Bin Laden Death Paper Due

F 6 April  Stray Dogs and Leaderless Jihad in the US
  • Lawfare, Foreign Fighter “Hot Potato”
  • Minnesota Pipeline to al Shabaab
• Lawfare: Lone Wolf Terrorism
• Lawfare: Can Lone Wolves Be Stopped?
• Islamic, Yet Integrated
• CTC Sentinel: The Threat to the United States from the Islamic State's Virtual Entrepreneurs

M 9 April  Counterterrorism Strategies Russia

• Cronin, 28-29, 131-137
• Country Reports on Terrorism 2016: Russia,

W 11 April  Counterterrorism Strategies Israel

• Tucker "Strategies"
• Byman, A High Price, What Israel Can Teach the World

F 13 April  Counterterrorism Strategies UK/France

• The Economist, Battlefields of the Mind
• Silke, Case Study: UK, pp 12-16
• Better than cure – but different
• Shapiro and Suzan, French Experience
• Lawfare: France’s Extended State of Emergency
• What Is Driving French Nationals?
• Lawfare: French Special Forces Targeting French Citizens Fighting for ISIS in Iraq
• Lawfare: The French War on Terrorism: Targeting French Islamic State Fighters through Iraqi Forces
• Self-service
• Nesser, Stenersen, Oftedal, Jihadi Terrorism in Europe

M 16 April  US Counterterrorism Past

• 2003 National Strategy for Combating Terrorism
• 2011 National Strategy for Counterterrorism

W 18 April  US Counterterrorism Past and Present Domestic Controversies

• Yoo and Cole, Counterterrorism and Constitution
• Council on Foreign Relations, US Domestic Surveillance
• The USA Freedom Act

F 20 April  US Counterterrorism Past and Present International Controversies

• White, 537-562
• Hoffman, Nasty Business, Terrorism and Counterterrorism

M 23 April  US Counterterrorism Present

• President Obama’s 2014 West Point Commencement Address
• Lawfare: The Foreign Policy Essay – Is This How to Win the “War on Terrorism?”
• The Evolving Terrorist Threat and CT Options for the Trump Administration, Bruce Hoffman

Cumulative Final Examination 0800 27 April